## ZIO 2024 Editorials

## Problem 1:

Consider the array $A$. It contains some negative integers, positive integers and zeros. Particularly, we do not care about zeros since they do not affect the sum $A_{1}+A_{2}+\cdots+A_{N}$.

It is helpful to see that the subset of all negative integers will be the minimum value in $S$, i.e. the first value in it. If this is not true, there exists a negative integer that is not included in the subset.

Similarly, the subset of all positive integers will be the maximum value in $S$.
Now the sum of the elements in $A$ is the sum of negative and positive integers in it. This is nothing but $S_{1}+S_{2^{N}}$.

Note 1: In subpart (a), the sum of all elements in $S$ is 0 . It is not hard to see that the sum of elements in $A$ should also be 0 .

Note 2: In subpart (b), an easier version of the above explanation is given, where $S_{1}=0$. This means there are no negative integers, and hence, the answer is simply $S_{2^{N}}$.

## Problem 2:

At the end, $H$ needs to be a consecutive sequence in ascending order. This is a bit tricky. It is helpful to assign $X_{i}=H_{i}-i$, because of which, now we want all elements in $X$ to be the same.

Since we need all elements in $X$ to be the same, we can arrange $X$ in any way now. From now onwards, we will assume $X$ is sorted in ascending order.

By exchange argument, we can prove the minimum number of operations to make all elements in $X$ same is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|X_{i}-\operatorname{med}\right|$, where med is the median of $X$.

Note: In subpart (b), the optimal value of med causes some of the values of $H$ (for example $H_{1}$ ) to be negative. Since this is not allowed, $H_{1}=1$ is optimal in this case.

## Problem 3:

Since we want to maximise the sum of distances between all pairs of nodes $(i, j)$, we would like to put the heaviest weight on the edge that is used the most.

Note that in the resulting graph (i.e. a tree), every edge disconnects the nodes into two separate components. Thus, virtually removing an edge $E_{i}$ disconnects the nodes to two separate components $X$ and $Y$.

Two nodes in $X$ can still reach each other, even after removing $E_{i}$. Similarly, two nodes in $Y$ can still reach each other. But, a node $x \in X$ can no longer reach $y \in Y$. However, it is given that you can reach any node $y$ from any node $x$. Thus, you must use $E_{i}$ to each $y$ from $x$.

This holds true for any 2 nodes $x$ and $y$ such that $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Hence, the number of times the edge $E_{i}$ is used is $|X| \cdot|Y|$, where $|X|$ denotes the number of nodes in $X$.

Calculate this value for each edge, and accordingly assign the weights, with the heaviest one going to the edge with the maximum value and so on.

Let $V$ denote the value of each edge. Sort $V$ and $W$ in descending order.
The answer will be $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} V_{i} \cdot W_{i}$.

## Problem 4:

In general, a subset $S$ is good if the most frequent colour is at most $\left\lceil\frac{|S|}{2}\right\rceil$, where $|S|$ is the size of $S$.

It is easier to calculate the subsets that are not good (in other words, bad). The most frequent colour in that subset is at least $\left\lceil\frac{|S|}{2}\right\rceil+1$. At most one such colour exists for any $S$, and hence, we will not overcount.

Let's fix the most frequent colour to be $c$. Let $f$ be the number of occurrences of $c$ in the array given. Since a bad subset should have a size of at least 2, the least number of occurrences of this colour in a bad subset should be at least 2 .

We first iterate $i$ from 2 to $f$, where $i$ denotes the frequency of $c$ in the bad set. The number of ways to choose $i$ from $f$ balls is $\binom{f}{i}=\frac{f!}{i!\cdot(f-i)!}$. Now, the number of balls with colours other than $c$ can be at most $i-2$, to keep the condition of a bad set satisfied.

Thus, we iterate $j$ from 0 to $i-2$, where $j$ denotes the frequency of balls without the colour $c$. The number of ways to choose $j$ from $n-f$ (since there are $n-f$ balls that are not coloured $c$ ) is $\binom{n-f}{j}$.

Now, we subtract this from all the possible subsets, which is $2^{N}$.
To sum up the explanation, let there be $K$ colours, with the $i$ th of these having a frequency $F_{i}$.
The answer is $2^{N}-\sum_{\text {color }=1}^{K} \sum_{i=2}^{F_{\text {color }}} \sum_{j=0}^{i-2}\binom{F_{\text {color }}}{i} \cdot\binom{N-F_{\text {color }}}{j}$

Note 1: This summation is quadratic in $N$, but it can be sped up to linear (if you already have $\binom{N}{r}$ calculated) by maintaining prefix sums over $\binom{N-F_{\text {colour }}}{j}$.

Note 2: We do not need to pre-calculate all $\binom{n}{r}$ values, which would take $n^{2}$ time using Pascals Triangle. Rather we can find $\binom{n}{i+1}$ by multiplying and dividing appropriate terms from $\binom{n}{i}$.

Note 3: If 2 colours have equal frequencies, calculating the number of bad subsets for one of them is enough.

